Medical Emergency Team

A RAPID RELIABLE RESPONSE TEAM

Dr. A. Malathi Group Chief Medical Officer & Chief Quality Officer , Aster DMHealthcare (India & GCC)



Speak up for patient safety!

No one should be harmed in health care

BE PROACTIVE





Why do we need an RRT?

- Patients often exhibit signs and symptoms of physiological instability for some period of time prior to a cardiac arrest.
- 70% of patients show evidence of respiratory deterioration 8 hours prior to arrest.
- 66% of patient show abnormal signs and symptoms
 within 6 hours of arrest



Rapid Response Team

- A rapid response team (RRT) / Medical Emergency Team (MET) / High Acuity Response Team (HART),
- Team of health care providers who respond to hospitalized patients with early signs of deterioration in *non- intensive care units* to prevent respiratory or cardiac arrest.



Rapid Response & Code Blue

- RRT PROACTIVE :
- ✓ A rapid response is for the prevention of serious injury, cardiac arrest, and respiratory arrest :

- CODE BLUE REACTIVE :
- A code blue is called for a person who has stopped breathing, or who does not have a heart beat, with the goal of resuscitation.



A system to address the issues of deteriorating patients Developed in 1997 by Morgan et al

Purpose is to provide

- ✓ Expert assessment,
- ✓ Early intervention
- ✓ Stabilization for patients
- ✓ Prevent clinical deterioration or cardiopulmonary arrest.
- ✓ Physiological parameters : BP, pulse, RR, temp,
- ✓ AVPU : Alert, Agitated, Verbal, Unresponsive :Pain
- ✓ Anxiety (as perceived by family)



What is the Rapid Response System (RRS)

: A physiological track and trigger systems

 who bring critical care expertise to the patient's bedside or wherever it is needed

Audit team

RRT

 to evaluate the Rapid response for CQI

Does it Work?



- 50% reduction in non-ICU arrests
- Reduced post-operative emergency ICU transfers
 (58%) and deaths (37%)
- Reduction in arrest prior to ICU transfer (4% vs. 30%)
- 17% decrease in the incidence of cardiopulmonary arrests(6.5 vs. 5.4 per 1000 admissions)





Early warning signs

- 1. Multiple-parameter scoring systems, to trigger a response.
- 2. Parameters to be measured defined

i) cut-off points or scores.

ii) performed by competent nurses and physicians.

RISK AHEAD

- 3. Patients and their families shall be educated about the early warning signs .
- 4. In non-critical care units.





pregnant women until 6 weeks POST NATAL

MOEWS



Table 1 – Modified Early Warning Signs (MEWS) Score –Adult Patient				t								
Parameter		neter	3	2	1	0	1	2	3			
Temperature °F			<95	95 to 96.8	96.9 to 100.2	100.4 to 102	>102					
Systolic BP mmHg		<70	71 to 80	81 to 100	101 to 179		180 to 199	>200				
Heart Rate/min			<40	40 to 50	51 to 100	101 to 110	111 to 129	>130			•1	
Resp. Rate/min		≤8			9 to 18	19 to 25	26 to 29	>30		Patient / fan	nily concern	
	Conscious (AVPU)	s Level	Responds to pain unresponsive	Response to Voice		Alert	New Agitation, Confusion					
SPO2%			≤89%	90 to 93%	94 to 96%	> 96%						
Score O		Obse	bservation frequency			Responsibility				itien	t location	
0		4 th hourly			Nurse in charge				S	ame	location	
1, 2		2 nd hourly			Nurse in charge, DMO, treating Dr, <mark>RRT</mark>				Sar	ne lo per	ocation/ as review	
3,4		hourly			Nurse in charge, DMO, treating Dr, <mark>RRT</mark>				Å	As pe	er review	
>4		Close monitoring			Nurse in charge, DMO, treating Dr, <mark>RRT</mark>						ICU	



PEWS Triggers

Table 3 - Early Warning Score (PEWS) - Paediatric Patient Score Matrix							
Particular	0	1	2	3			
Behavior Playing / Appropriate		Sleeping	Irritable	Lethargic / confused OR Reduced response to pain			
Cardiovascular)	Pink or Capillary Refilling 1-2 seconds	Pale or dusky OR Capillary Refilling 3 seconds	o Grey or cyanotic OR o Capillary Refilling 4 seconds OR o Tachycardia of 20 above normal rate	o Grey or cyanotic & mottled OR o Capillary Refilling 5 seconds OR o Tachycardia of 30 above normal rate o Bradycardia			
Respiratory	Within normal parameter, no retractions	o >10 above normal parameter OR o using accessory muscle OR o 30+% FiO2 or 3+ liters/min	o >20 above normal parameter OR o Retraction OR - 40+% FiO2 or 6liters/min	o >5 below normal parameter with retractions or grunting or using accessory muscle OR o 50+% FiO2 or 8+ liters/min			
*Score by staring with most severe parameter first *Use "liters/minute" to score regular							

- Altered level of consciousness
- New onset of seizure
- "Staff intuition" or
- Family concern







MEOWS Triggers

RRT initiated for one red or two						
yellow triggers:						
Parameter	Red Trigger	Yellow Trigger				
Temperature	< 35 or >38	35-36				
Systolic BP; mmHg	<90 or >160	150-160				
Diastolic BP; mmHg	>100	90-100				
Heart rate	<40, >120	100-120, 40-50				
Respiratory rate	<10 or >30	21-30				
Oxygen saturation	<95	-				
Pain score	-	2-3				
Neurological response	Unresponsive, pain	Voice				



Steps in Implementation

When implementing RRS



Identifying key staff for RRT

Establishing alert criteria and a mechanism for calling the RRT

Educating staff about alert criteria and protocol



Establishing feedback mechanisms

Measuring effectiveness

RRS can be customized to meet your institutions' needs and resources



RRS Structure





Where can Detection occur?



Activators can be:

- ✓ Floor staff
- ✓ A technician
- ✓ The patient
- ✓ A family member
- ✓ Specialists
- ✓ Anyone sensing the acute deterioration



 Detection can occur from a variety of sources or concerns



Responder(s)

- Transferring the patient to another unit
- patient stays in same location
- A handoff to a specialized team (cardiac team, code team, stroke team, etc)



Responders come to the bedside and assess the patient's situation



Nursing staff

Respiratory care staff

ICU staff





Let's Identify



What are the common challenges
Patient deterioration?
System activation?
Patient handoffs?
Patient treatment?
Evaluation of the response team?



RRS Evaluation





Success – Necessary Teamwork skills





CASE STUDY 1

- A family member noticed the patient seemed lethargic and confused.
- The family member alerted the nurse about these concerns.
- The nurse assured the family member that she would check on the patient.
- An hour later, the family member reminded the nurse, who then assessed the patient. The nurse checked the patient's vitals



- She did not note any specific change in clinical status, though she agreed that the patient seemed lethargic.
- At the family member's urging, the nurse contacted the physician, but the conversation **focused on the family member's insistence** that the nurse call the physician rather than conveying a specific description of the patient's condition.
- Based on the **unclear assessment**, the physician did not have specific instructions. The physician recommended additional monitoring.



- Another nurse on the floor suggested calling the RRT, which she heard had helped with this type of situation on another floor.
- The first nurse missed the training about the new RRS, which was not discussed in staff meetings.
- Based on her colleague's recommendation, the nurse called the RRT
- Patient shifted to ICU





CASE STUDY : 2

- A night nurse noted that a patient who had been on the unit for 2 days seemed more tired than usual.
- Although the patient was usually responsive, she did not seem as responsive during the evening shift.
- After checking on her twice, the nurse noted that the patient seemed weak and confused.
- The nurse called the physician at 3 a.m. and described the patient's general status change as being "not quite right" but did not provide a detailed report or recommendation.



CASE STUDY 2

- The physician, frustrated, did not ask probing questions about the patient. The physician noted that it was 3 a.m., mentioned that perhaps the patient was tired, and instructed the nurse to monitor the patient.
- The next morning, the physician came in to do rounds Upon assessing the patient, the physician ordered a stat MRI to rule out stroke.
- The nurse experienced anxiety due to deterioration of patient status and inability to communicate with the physician.
- The physician not clearly receiving all of the relevant patient information the patient's stroke remained unidentified during evening shift.



CONCLUSION



<u>Track and Trigger</u> system can help identify patients who are in need of immediate and crucial intervention, ultimately improving patient outcome and averting the need to call for code blue





Thank You

